

ing jury would have been hard to capture. The foreman needed only a Spanish barber's basin and a lance to have been transformed into Don Quixote as Cervantes conceived him. The others were eleven different and distinct types, ranging from the gamut from a horny-handed laborer to a prosperous looking citizen, who was evidently a green grocer in good circumstances.

Prosecution Is Determined.
Prosecuting Attorney Davis is one of those bustling, active little men such as Dickens loved to describe. Bald-pated, brown mustache and as full of energy as a dynamo, he kept a current of animation running through what would have otherwise been a deadly, dull scene. He is now determined to make a killing, if possible, in this case and in that stage where the banderillas have left him excited and vicious. He resents the imputations that he has been instructed from the White House to let the sugar kings down easy, and as a consequence will work harder for conviction than otherwise. If possible, all day long, until the jury adjourned the court, he stood before the attorney's table handling his weapons with an ability that has made him famous, catching up the proper authority at the proper time, and never missing an opportunity to make a telling point.

Before the judge's bench, in front of the rail, were gathered the famous defendants and their attorneys. A score of newspaper men littered the attorney's table with their papers, while just inside the door of the courtroom were gathered several scores of attorneys and Congressmen. Four of the Senators comprising the original committee of investigation were present only Allen being absent. Gray, Davis, Lodge and Lindsay stretched in a line of greatness along the rail—Gray severely dignified and impressive as usual, Davis looking more like Ben Butler than ever, Lodge coldly impassive and typically New Englandish, with Lindsay fat, somnolent and slightly interested.

Interest centered, however, in Mr. Havermeier. He sat during the trial with mouth agape, but apparently otherwise undisturbed. He clutched a checkered umbrella with a cherry handle, unopened, originally worth perhaps a dollar on the Bowers. A huge red nose jutted aggressively out from the folds, but fresh-dried, face. His chin dropped whenever he became excited, leaving his mouth wide open, into which he frequently thrust his umbrella handle. At times he muttered his fingers nervously about his mouth. In appearance, he is a cheap-looking millionaire. His clothes are of the hand-me-down kind, a common chevron of light black with dark bone buttons. One pocket of his sack coat was disfigured with a mass of documents. His hands, which were large and powerful, were the proper tool for such a make-up. Nature would have been unkind to have concealed the magnificent collection of parapsychological bumps and bluffs there displayed. His bump of acquisitiveness seems to have been made with a brick. He is overly fat about the neck and looks apoplectic, his chin collapsed over his protruding open collar. It is a way to suggest that a month at Muldoon's farm would add years to his life. Summing him up, he looked somewhat like a large, vulgar type coming out from behind the drizzle of French plate glass on a Broadway cab between midnight and dawn. In a word, he looks like a man who would not sugar in his champagne.

Seaside, who sat with him, is an artistically selected opposite. He is everything that Havermeier is not. Religious and decent-like in appearance, his proper environment would be the superintendent's platform of a Sunday-school. His short cropped gray beard but half conceals a clean, gravel-like mouth. He sits near so bald as his partner, and his thin, yellowish hair is daintily combed over his pate and comely curled at the end. He is but little interested in the proceedings, devoting most of his time to adjusting a cheap, circular-looking black tie that encircles his collar of the vintage of '91.

The four important witnesses of the day—Gray, Lindsay, Lodge and Davis—were called, to testify to the questions asked Mr. Havermeier and his refusal to answer. Gray was called early, and permitted to leave after a short interrogation. He was stout, concise and quite the dignified Senator.

Lindsay added the humorous to the entertainment. He rolled around some of the common chair with a scintilla that occasionally opened into a generous smile. He developed a keen power of forgetfulness, and had but little recollection of the events connected with the questioning of Mr. Havermeier. The attempt to refresh his memory by permitting him to read the report of the committee brought on a further outburst of the day between the lawyers. Numerous authorities had to be consulted before he could be permitted to proceed. The final accompaniment of the mountain was a mouse.

Lodge was an ideal witness. His answers were in Evans-like sentences. He gave in the strongest manner possible and rattled the attorneys. He is a nut-faced little man, with a short cropped brown beard, short curly hair, flitting to and fro, fashionably and with better taste than Senator Hale on the Spanish Queen's birthday. He was kept on the stand but a few minutes, as his testimony was clear, concise and easily elicited.

Senator Davis was the last witness called. He is a sturdy edition of Ben Butler. A straight, dusty white mustache fringes a drooping looking mouth. Both temples are directed to those of the Mongolians. The result is surprising, somewhat amusing. His hair, strange to say, is under the port and in the starboard.

The regular panel was kept in the jury box with a few changes. They are all Washington business men, who have been for two months past trying murder cases and common assaults.

In the army of the attorneys clustered before the judge's bench, Nathaniel Wilson, John W. Johnson, of Philadelphia, and John D. Parsons, of New York, the attorney of the Sugar Trust.

The court was convened shortly after 10 o'clock. There was the usual scuffle and bustle at the door, the crowding in of court room loungers, and the sharp cries of court attendants for order. As soon as the "eyes" of the court were directed to the case of Havermeier was called. The jury panel, consisting of Mr. Davis, Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

The Charge Against Havermeier.
When the District Attorney arose to address the jury all eyes were turned upon him, and as he emphasized the various prominent points of the indictment Mr. Havermeier, who sat on one side, first caught a few sentences, and then nervously turned to Mr. Parsons, evidently pointing the point of the charge to him. Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House, Senate and Executive. Mr. Davis explained the jury that it was to the interest of the American Sugar Refining Company that the tariff bill known as the "Wilson bill," which had passed the House of Representatives, should become a law. He recited the fact that the first amendment proposed for the Wilson bill in the Senate increased the benefits to the sugar company, and the Jones amendment still further increased these benefits.

Mr. Davis recited the fact of the appointment of the Senate Committee to investigate the charges made by one of the New York papers. He then read the indictment, which charges in effect, that on June 12, 1894, the defendant, Henry O. Havermeier, was summoned before the special committee of the Senate, appointed to investigate charges of bribery, etc., and, among other things, was asked by Senator Allen:

To produce all data as to all money contributed by the American Sugar Refining Company, or any of its officers, on its account, or in its interest, in the different States in the Union, in 1892 or 1893, for political purposes, to any political party, whether national, State or local.

To this question Mr. Havermeier, after having requested and obtained permission to consult counsel with reference to the right of the committee to inquire into such a matter, on the next day replied:

While I am perfectly willing to answer as to any material matters, under advice of counsel, I decline to answer about outside matters. I decline to answer about local contributions. I know of nothing given to the national campaign. There exists no bargain of any nature, and we never claimed that the company was entitled to anything except what its merits entitled.

The Fight Begins.
"We reserve our opening address," said Mr. Wilson.

"If Your Honor please," said District Attorney Davis, "I suggest that the Court instruct the jury regarding the existing tariff law of 1892, an election of that year which would result in the election of Presidential, Congressional and municipal officers in that year. I also offer in evidence a journal of the Senate of New York dated January 8, 1893, showing the election of Edward Murphy, Jr., Senator, who took his seat on March 4, 1893; also a similar extract showing the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State."

"Mr. Davis," said Mr. Johnson, "have you any authority that will permit the introduction of the extracts you offer in evidence?"

"Yes," replied Mr. Davis, "I base my authority upon section 9,003, of the Revised Statutes, which permits the use of such papers."

"Well," said Mr. Johnson, "I will not proceed into the details, but reserve them for the objections to be noted later on."

The laws of New Jersey incorporating the American Sugar Refining Company, and the certificate showing the increase of \$75,000,000 by which the company signified its intention of increasing its capital stock, were offered in evidence, together with the journal of the House of Representatives of the Fifty-third Congress, showing that the members of the New York State Legislature who elected James W. Smith, Jr., Senator from that State.

Mr. Davis, in his address, referred to the

national elections and elections for the Fifty-third Congress and stated that at the time of such election the Democratic party was in control of the House,